UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JUL 14 AM 8:46

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY [signature] DEPUTY

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☑ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Brooks

FROM: L Odierno, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/1/08

CASE NO.: 07cv2183-DMS-RBB DOCUMENT FILED BY: James H Cunningham

CASE TITLE: Cunningham v Marshall

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	*Local Rule*	*Discrepancy*
☐	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
☑		OTHER: Ex Parte Communication with the Judge is Prohibited

File both letters as "Notice of Change of Address" and note new address.

Date forwarded: 7/2/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: RBB

Dated: 7/11/2008 By: [signature]

cc: All Parties